

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/535,390</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) SCHOFIELD ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner NASHMIYA FAYYAZ</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2856</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/535,390	Applicant(s) SCHOFIELD ET AL.	Examiner NASHMIYA FAYYAZ	Art Unit 2856
Application No. 10/535,390	Applicant(s) SCHOFIELD ET AL.				
Examiner NASHMIYA FAYYAZ	Art Unit 2856				

  

**All Participants:**

(1) NASHMIYA FAYYAZ

(2) Ting Chao

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
*all*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*an agreement was reached to amend the claims for 35USC11(2) issues and more clearly define the claims over the prior art. See attached examiner's amendment.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/N. F./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2856

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)